

MELINDA HAAG (CABN 132612)  
United States Attorney

MIRANDA KANE (CABN 150630)  
Chief, Criminal Division

J. MARK KANG (NYBN 4033999)  
Special Assistant United States Attorney

450 Golden Gate Avenue, Box 36055  
San Francisco, California 94102  
Telephone: (415) 436-7050  
Facsimile: (415) 436-7234  
E-Mail: Mark.Kang@usdoj.gov

Attorneys for the United States of America

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA  
SAN FRANCISCO DIVISION

UNITED STATES OF AMERICA, )  
 )  
Plaintiff, )  
 )  
v. )  
ALFREDO PEREZ, )  
a/k/a Alfredo Perez Garin, )  
a/k/a Antonio Garin Ramirez, )  
a/k/a Alfredo Perez Barin, )  
Defendant. )

No. CR 12-0692 RS

**STIPULATION AND ~~PROPOSED~~  
ORDER EXCLUDING TIME UNDER 18  
U.S.C. § 3161**

On October 30, 2012, the parties in this case appeared before the Court. At that time, the Court set the matter to November 27, 2012. The parties have agreed to exclude the period of time between October 30, 2012 and November 27, 2012 from any time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161. The parties represented that granting the exclusion would allow the reasonable time necessary for effective preparation of counsel and for the continuity of counsel. *See* 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(B)(iv). The parties also agree that the ends of justice served by granting such an exclusion of time outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy

STIPULATION & ~~PROPOSED~~ ORDER EXCLUDING TIME  
CR 12-0692 RS

1 trial. 18 U.S.C. § 3161(h)(7)(A). At the hearing, the Court made findings consistent with this  
2 agreement. SO STIPULATED:

3  
4 MELINDA HAAG  
United States Attorney

5  
6 DATED: October 31, 2012

7 /s/  
J. MARK KANG  
Special Assistant United States Attorney

8  
9 DATED: October 31, 2012

10 /s/  
EDWARD HU  
Attorney for ALFREDO PEREZ

1 [PROPOSED] ORDER

2 For the reasons stated above and at the October 30, 2012 hearing, the Court finds that the  
3 exclusion from the time limits applicable under 18 U.S.C. § 3161 of the period from October 30,  
4 2012 and November 27, 2012 is warranted and that the ends of justice served by the continuance  
5 outweigh the best interests of the public and the defendant in a speedy trial. 18 U.S.C.  
6 §3161(h)(7)(A). Denying the requested exclusion of time would deprive the parties of the  
7 reasonable time necessary for effective preparation, taking into account the exercise of due  
8 diligence. 18 U.S.C. §3161(h)(7)(B)(iv).

9  
10 IT IS SO ORDERED.

11  
12 DATED: 11/26/12 \_\_\_\_\_

13   
14 THE HONORABLE RICHARD SEEBORG  
15 United States District Judge  
16  
17  
18  
19  
20  
21  
22  
23  
24  
25  
26  
27  
28